Artikel 8

by Suyanto Proceeding

Submission date: 12-Oct-2021 11:11AM (UTC+0700)

Submission ID: 1671702753

File name: rship,_and_Independent_Commissioner_As_Moderating_Variables.pdf (2.17M)

Word count: 3789

Character count: 21874



International Conference on Life, Innovation, Change, and Knowledge (ICLICK 2018)

Effect of earnings Management on Tax Aggressiveness: Audit Committee, Institutional Ownership, and Independent Commissioner As Moderating Variables

Bayu Seno Pitoyo1

Universitas Pancasila Jakarta, Indonesia Suyanto³

Sekolah Tinggi Ilmu Ekonomi IPWI Jakarta Email: suyanto.ipwija@gmail.com

Nurmala Ahmar²

Sekolah Tinggi Ilmu Ekonomi Perbanas Surabaya

Abstract - The Effect of earnings Management on Aggressive Tax Action with Audit Committee, Institutional Ownership, and Independent board Commissioner As Moderating Variables. This study examines the effect of earnings management on tax aggressiveness, with the audit committee, institutional ownership and independent commissioners are positioned as moderating variable. Sample data of this research are 96 companies in the time of 2012-2017 obtained from JII (Jakarta Islamic Index). Hypothesis testing is done by structural equation model - wrap pls 0.6 program, the result of this study proves that earning management has an effect on aggressive tax action, institutional ownership can moderate the effect of earnings management on aggressive tax action. independent board commissioners can moderate the effect of earnings management on aggressive tax action, the audit committee cannot moderate the effect of earnings management on aggressive tax action.

Keywords: earnings management, institutional ownership, independent board commissioners, the audit committee, and aggressive tax action.

I. INTRODUCTION

As a developing country, Indonesia actually has many potentials to become a more advanced country. In fact, however, Indonesia cannot utilize these potentials. It can be seen today, in Indonesia experiencing various problems almost in all sectors, one of the biggest problem is in the economic sector, to solve that problem the tax is expected to be an effective solution. It is caused the tax is the largest revenue potential in the country. Because taxes are direct revenue that can be processed for various state purpose finances[1]. Aggressive tax action is an action purposed at decreasing taxable earnings through tax planning either using a classified or not classified tax evasion[2]. Tax aggressiveness can arise because of differences in interest between taxpayers and the government. The government needs funds to finance the implementation of government activities that deriving from taxes. However, on the other hand the company as a taxpayer considers taxes as a cost because by paying taxes means reducing the amount of net earnings to be received[3]. Arranging of financial reports aggressively can be done one of them through earnings management. According to Scott [4] one of the manager motivation doing earnings management is tax motivation. Basically, earnings management is the method chosen in presenting information to the public that has been adjusted by the interest from the manager itself or the benefit for the company by increasing or decreasing comparate earnings.

Survanto [5] stated that the effect of earnings management on tax aggressiveness has a positive coefficient (0,015) so it can be concluded that earnings management has a significant effect on tax aggressiveness. Similarly, research conducted by Arief, Sari[6], and Tiaras and Heryanto [7] stated that earnings management has a significant effect on tax aggressiveness. This is inversely related to research conducted by Amril, et al[8], Putri[9], and Cahyani [10] stated that earnings 2 nanagement has no significant effect on tax aggressiveness. The mechanisms in corporate governance are internal and external. The Internal Mechanism is a way of controlling a company by using internal structures and processes such as the General Meeting of Shareholders (AGMS), the composition of the Board of Directors, the board of commissioners and meetings 2 th the board of directors. While external mechanisms are such controlling by the company, ownership structure, and market control. In this research, the implementation of corporate governance will be seen from the mechanism with audit committee proction, institutional ownership and board of commissioners.

Ramadhan [11] stated that audit committee have positive coefficient 2 qual to (0,029) and significance equal to (0,046) indicating that audit committee have a significant positive effect to tax aggressiveness. This research is in line with research conducted by Pamungkas[12], Sabrina and Soepriyanto[13]. 2 his result was different from research conducted by Winarsih, et al [14] stated that audit committee don't have significant positive effect on tax aggressiveness. Ramadhan[11] stated that institutional ownership has a positive coefficient of (0,080) and significance of (0,008) indicating that institutional ownership has a significant positive effect on tax aggressiveness. This result was



not in line with researd conducted by Sabrina and Soepriyanto[13], they stated that institutional ownership has no significant positive effect on tax aggressiveness. The presence of independent commissioners is also predicted will affect the aggressiveness of corporate taxes. According to Fama & Jensen [15] the more independent commissioners so the supervision on the performance of managers is considered to be more effective. With this situation, the tight supervision of an independent commissioner it will reduce the manager's chance to be aggressive in corporate taxes. Manager engages in corporate tax aggressiveness because he need to increase corporate earnings by reducing the company's charge including tax charge. Meilinda [16] stated that the independent board of commissioners have a significance effect of (0.049) which indicated that independent board of commissioners have a significant positive effect on tax aggressiveness. This result was not in line with research conducted by Tiaras [7], Sabrina and Soepriyanto [13] which stated that the independent board of commissioners don't have significant positive effect on tax

This research is different from the research conducted by Reysky et al.[17]. The differences with Reysky et al., are the object of this study using the object of the company incorporated in JII (Jakarta Islamic Index). While the object on Reysky research et al., using a coal company listed on the Indonesia Stock Exchange (IDX) in 2011-2014. Second, the independent variables is earnings management. In this study are strengthened or weakened using moderating variables that include audit committee, institutional ownership and independent board of commissioners, while research Reysky et al., the independent variable is earnings management does not have moderating variables to strengthen or weaken. One of the study related to the effect of earnings management on tax aggressiveness, conducted by Reysky et al. indicated that earnings management has an effect on tax aggressiveness. This is in line with the results of research conducted by Tiaras and Henryanto [7], and Kamila[9]. But this was different opinion with result of Amril, Dwi and Popi [8] research which indicated that earnings management doesn't have an effect on tax aggressiveness. According Tiaras and Heryanto [7] earnings management is a business conducted by the company to gain the amount of earnings or income in accordance with the wishes and goals that should be achieved by the company. Tax became one of the problems for the company because paying taxes will decrease the company's net earnings so according to Badertscher et al [18] the company will make earnings management to reduce the tax burden. Companies are more likely to use accountant option to reduce income (income decreasing) that reported for lowering taxable income so that companies can make savings on the tax burden. The larger company makes income decreasing the smaller tax must be paid by the company. According to Arief [6] partially earnings management has an effect on tax aggressiveress, as well as tests conducted by Suryanto and Supramono [5] the effect of earnings management on tax aggressiveness has a positive coefficient (0,015) so it can be concluded that earnings management significant effect on aggressiveness corporate tax. Similarly, research conducted by Kamila[9], Tiaras and Henryanto[7], Sari[6], and Tang [19]indicated that earnings management has a significant effect on tax aggressiveness. Based on the description above, the hypothesis proposed in this research is:

H1: Earnings management has positive effect on aggressive tax action

According to Ramadan[11] in 1 studies, institutional ownership has a significant positive effect on aggressive tax action. Because institutional ownership is important to the company, their existence is considered to be an effective monitoring mechanism in every decision taken by managers. This is supposed happen because by owning a large share of the company, institutional investors have a strong incentive to ensure that decisions taken by the management will have an impact on improving their prosperity Increasing of institutional ownership can cause manager performance supervised optimally and it is considered can be an effective monitoring mechanism in every decision taken by managers. The hope of this research is the greater the institutional ownership, the more effective the supervisory function will decrease earnings management and weaken the positive effect of earnings management on aggressive tax action. Based on the description above, the hypothesis proposed in this research is:

H2. Institutional ownership moderate the positive effect of earnings management on aggressive tax action

Board of commissioners as the culmination of the company's internal management system, has a role on supervise activities. The authority of the board of commissioners to oversee and provide guidance and direction to corporate managers have a strong enough effect on the management of earnings management to do aggressive tax action. With aggressive tax action, it is believed to increase corporate earnings. Thus, a good company will decrease earnings management done by managers or even managers will not make earnings management. The role of the board of commissioners by Vafeas[20] is also expected to improve the quality of earnings by limiting the level of earnings management through the monitoring function of financial reporting. The monitoring function undertaken by the board of commissioners is affected by the number or size of the board of commissioners. The composition of the independent board commissioners can encourage the board of commissioners to act objectively and be able to protect all stakeholders of the company so that it can weaken aggressive tax action. The hope of this research is the larger the board of independent commissioners, the more effective the supervisory functio 1 will decrease earnings management and can weaken the positive effect of earnings management on aggressive tax action. Based on the description above, the hypothesis proposed in this research is:

H3: the independent board of commissioner moderate the positive effect of earnings management on aggressive tax action.

The audit committee is a sub-committee of the board of directors composed of independent directors from outside. The audit committee has oversight responsibilities (on behalf of the board of directors and shareholders) for outside corporate reporting (including annual financial statements); risk monitoring



and control processes; and both internal and external audit functions, the audit committee does not prepare the financial reports directly. However, the audit committee conducts independent checks on management and as an advocate to describe the company's economic activity. [21]. The Audit committee with more than 3 members have positive changes on aggressive tax action which means the company tends to be aggressive on tax when it has a 3 person audit committees[22]. The audit committee has a function as auxiliary of the board commissioners in conducting effective supervision of management to reduce conflicts of interest and fraud committed by management, therefore the role of the audit committee camprovide a strong enough effect to suppress the management of earnings management to do aggressive tax action. The hope of this research is the higher the number of audit committees, the supervisory function will be more effective so it will degrease earnings management and can weaken the positive effect of earnings management on aggressive tax action. Based on the description above, the hypothesis proposed in this research is:

H4. The audit of committee moderate the positive effect of earnings management on aggressive tax action

II. METHODS

This research was conducted at JII with the entire company population registered in JII during period 2012-2017 which provide financial report information on its official website at www.idx.co.id. The object of research is the financial ratios of companies registered in JII period 2012-2017. Companies in JII were chosen with consideration that the data obtained homogeneously so it car 2 escribe the specificity of the results in one type of company. In this research the dependent variable is the company Aggressive Tax Action. In measuring the dependent variable of Company Aggressive Tax Action, this study follows the criteria from the previous research of Sari and Martani in Amanda[21], there are:

$CETR = \underbrace{Cash Tax Paid_{it}}_{Pre Tax Income_{it}}$

In this research accrual earnings management is measured using discretionary accrual. In calculating the discretionary accrual used Modified Jones M2el. According Tiaras & Henryanto (2015). The number of institutional ownership will affect the aggressive policies undertaken by the company. In this research institutional ownership was measured using percentage[21]. Institutional ownership = (Proportion of shares owned by the institution: Number of shares issued) X 100%. In this research independent commissioners are measured by dividing the number of independent commissioners with total board of commissioners of the company. in Amril, et al [23] and Survanto (2012). Independent Board of Commissioners = (Independent Commissioner: Total Board of Commissioners). Audit committee is measured by the number of audit committee in the company (Pamungkas, 2014), 0 =If the members of the Audit Committee are 3 persons, 1 = If the members of the Audit Committee are more than 3 persons. Audit Committee data

can be seen from the financial report in the top notes section of the financial report or Annual Report.

The researcher use variable dummy regression to know the Effect of earnings Management on Aggressive Tax Action with Audit Committee, Institutional Ownership, and Independent Board of Commissioners as Moderating Variables. Therefore to simplify the analyzation, this research will use Partial Least Square (PLS) tool. Multiple regression model in this research are:

Aggressive $tax = \alpha + \beta_1 E M_{it} + \beta_2 K I_{it} + \beta_3 D K I_{it} + \beta_4 K A_{it} + \beta_5 (E M_{it} * K I_{it}) + \beta_6 (E M_{it} * D K I_{it}) + \beta_7 (E M_{it} * K A_{it}) + e$

EMit = earnings Management / Manajemen Laba

α = konstanta

KI_{it} = Kepemilikan Institusional/institutional ownership

DKI_{it} = Dewan Komisaris Independen/ independent board of commissioner

BAit = Komite Audit/ audit committee

= koefisien regresi untuk masing-masing variabel independen

e = error

III. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Before answering the research hypothesis, so the researcher tested the model between companies that do aggressive tax action and companies that do not do aggressive tax action. The results from the effect of companies that do tax aggressiveness can be describe in TabbStructural models are tested by looking at R2, β and p values. Test results can be seen from Figure above, and full model moderation in this table:

Table 1: Research Result

Path	R ²	3	Direct Effect	
		В	P-Value	Conclusion
E.M - A.P		-0,24	0,007	Significant
Path		Moderating Effect		
ratn		В	P-Value	Conclusion
				Not
E.M - K.A	0,12	0,104	0,148	significant
				Partial
E.M - K.I		0,131	0,093	Moderating
				Pure
E.M - D.K.I		0,133	0,091	Moderating

3.1 Results the effect of earnings management on tax aggressiveness.

The coefficient of -0.24 proves that the higher the earnings management implies on the CETR value, the low CETR value indicates that the aggressive tax action occurs on the firm and this hypothesis is strengthen by the Mann -Whitney Test it had a mean value of 52.61 for a CETR valued > 25% compared to a company with a mean value of 41.34 for firms with CETR <25%, with P-value 0.007 <0.05 it can be concluded that reject H0 and not enough evidence to reject H1. Test results can be concluded that earnings



management have significant effect on aggressive tax action. A high mean rank value indicates that increasing in earnings management influence the existence of aggressive tax action.

Because managers have the flexibility to choose several alternatives in recording transactions while selecting options in accounting treatment, it indicates one of the options is doing aggressive tax action. This flexibility is used by the agency to manage earnings. This can be utilized by the management to reduce the reliability of financial report and potentially does not reflect an adual conditions. The test results can be concluded that institutional ownership can moderate the significant effect of earnings management on aggressive tax action. A positive coefficient indicates that the numbers of institutional ownerships can decrease earnings management therefore it can decrease earnings on aggressive tax action. This situation indicates that the greater the institutional ownership the more effective the supervision. So it will decrease earnings management and weaken aggressive tax action. The test results can be concluded that an independent board of commissioners can moderate the significant effect of earnings management on aggressive tax action. The positive coefficient indicates that the number of independent board of commissioners is able to suppress earnings management s2t can decrease on aggressive tax action. This situation indicates that the more proportion of independent board of commissioners the more effective the supervision to decrease earnings management and weaken aggressive tax action. This is because the compensation and incentives received by the audit committee result in tax savings and rent extraction to trigger the audit committee to assist managers in taking aggressive tax action. This condition indicates that the company is always trying to find ways to reduce the corporate tax burden.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

The results of this study show that companies incorporated in JII (Jakarta Islamic Index) in 2012 up to 2017, earnings management has a significant effect on aggressive tax action. Managers have the flexibility to choose several alternatives in recording transactions while selecting options in accounting treatment, indicating one option is doing aggressive tax action. This flexibility is used by the agency to manage earnings. This can be utilized by the management to reduce the reliability of financial report and patentially does not reflect the actual conditions. Similarly, institutional ownership can moderate earnings management on aggressive tax action in JII (Jakarta Islamic Index) companies in 2012 until 2017, even institutional ownership variables can be predictor variables for aggressive tax actions, and this situation indicates that the greater the institutional ownership the more effective the supervision to decrease earnings management and weaken aggressive tax action. The independent board of commissioners becomes pure moderating, so it can moderate earnings management on aggressive tax action on companies incorporated 12 JII (Jakarta Islamic Index) in 2012 until 2017, This indicates that the more proportion of independent board of commissioners the more effective oversight thereby it can decrease earnings

management and weakening aggressive tax action. The value of R2 indicates how much effect of the exogenous variable can explain the endogenous variables. In this research, R2 is 0.12, it can be concluded that exogenous variable of earnings management with moderation of institutional ownership, independent commissioner board and audit committee can explain 12% variable of endogen of aggressive tax action.

REFERENCES

- [1] E. F. F. Listyaningtyas, "Efektivitas Pelaksanaan Pemeriksaan Dalam Rangka Meningkatkan Penerimaan Negara Dari Sektor Pajak (Studi Kasus Di Kpp Tulungagung)," J. Akunt. Unesa, Vol. 1, No. 1, 2012.
- [2] M. M. Frank, L. J. Lynch, And S. O. Rego, "Tax Reporting Aggressiveness And Its Relation To Aggressive Financial Reporting," Account. Rev., Vol. 84, No. 2, Pp. 467–496, 2009.
- [3] A. Sabrina And G. Soepriyanto, "Analisis Karakteristik Corporate Governance Terhadap Tindakan Pajak Agresif," Skripsi. Univ. Bina Nusantara. Jakarta, 2013.
- [4] C. P. Zapata-Phelan, J. A. Colquitt, B. A. Scott, And B. Livingston, "Procedural Justice, Interactional Justice, And Task Performance: The Mediating Role Of Intrinsic Motivation," Organ. Behav. Hum. Decis. Process., Vol. 108, No. 1, Pp. 93–105, 2009.
- [5] K. D. Suyanto And S. Supramono, "Likuiditas, Leverage, Komisaris Independen, Dan Manajemen Laba Terhadap Agresivitas Pajak Perusahaan," J. Keuang. Dan Perbank., Vol. 16, No. 2, 2012.
- [6] N. W. Sari, D. Pratomo, And S. P. Yudowati, "Pengaruh Manajemen Laba Terhadap Agresivitas Pajak (Studi Kasus Pada Perusahaan Manufaktur Subsektor Makanan Dan Minuman Yang Terdaftar Di Bursa Efek Indonesia Tahun 2010-2015)," Eproceedings Manag., Vol. 3, No. 2, 2016.
- [7] I. Tiaras And H. Wijaya, "Pengaruh Likuiditas, Leverage, Manajemen Laba, Komisaris Independen Dan Ukuran Perusahaan Terhadap Agresivitas Pajak," *Univ. Tarumanagara J. Account.*, Vol. 19, No. 3, 2015.
- [8] L. T. Y. Putri, "Pengaruh Likuiditas, Manajemen Laba Dan Corporate Governance Terhadap Agresivitas Pajak Perusahaan (Studi Empiris Pada Perusahaan Yang Terdaftar Di Bei Periode 2008-2012)," J. Akunt., Vol. 2, No. 3, 2014.
- [9] P. A. Kamila, "Analisis Hubungan Agresivitas Pelaporan Keuangan Dan Agresivitas Pajak," J. Keuang. Dan Perbank., Vol. 16, No. 2, 2017.
- [10] R. Cahyani, "Pengaruh Manajemen Laba Dan Corporate Social Responsibility Terhadap Agresivitas Pajak." Jakarta: Fakultas Ekonomi Dan Bisnis Uin Syarif Hidayatullah Jakarta, 2016.
- [11] R. Ramadhan, "Pengaruh Manajemen Laba, Kepemilikan Institusional, Kepemilikan Manajerial, Komisaris Independen, Dan Kualitas Audit Terhadap Peringkat Obligasi (Studi Kasus Pada Perusahaan Non Keuangan Yang Terdaftar Di Bursa Efek Indonesia)." Universitas Islam Negeri Alauddin Makassar, 2016.
- [12] P. P. Midiastuty, S. Eddy, And M. R. Putri, "Pengaruh



- Kepemilikan Terkonsentrasi Dan Corporate Governance Terhadap Agresivitas Pajak."
- [13] A. Sabrina And G. Soepriyanto, "Analisis Pengaruh Corporate Governance Terhadap Tindakan Pajak Agresif (Studi Empiris Perusahaan Manufaktur Yang Terdaftar Di Bursa Efek Indonesia)." Binus, 2013.
- [14] R. D. Retno And D. Priantinah, "Pengaruh Good Corporate Governance Dan Pengungkapan Corporate Social Responsibility Terhadap Nilai Perusahaan (Studi Empiris Pada Perusahaan Yang Terdaftar Di Bursa Efek Indonesia Periode 2007-2010)," Nominal, Barom. Ris. Akunt. Dan Manaj., Vol. 1, No. 2, 2012.
- [15] E. F. Fama And M. C. Jensen, "Separation Of Ownership And Control," J. Law Econ., Vol. 26, No. 2, Pp. 301–325, 1983.
- [16] M. Meilinda And N. Cahyonowati, "Pengaruh Corporate Governance Terhadap Manajemen Pajak (Studi Empiris Pada Perusahaan Manufaktur Yang Terdaftar Di Bursa Efek Indonesia Tahun 2009-2011)." Fakultas Ekonomika Dan Bisnis, 2013.
- [17] D. Prima And R. Pratiwi, "Pengaruh Good Corporate Governance Terhadap Agresivitas Pajak Dimediasi Oleh Earnings Management Pada Perusahaan Yang Terdaftar Di Iicg."

- [18] B. A. Badertscher, J. D. Phillips, M. Pincus, And S. O. Rego, "Earnings Management Strategies And The Trade-Off Between Tax Benefits And Detection Risk: To Conform Or Not To Conform?," Account. Rev., Vol. 84, No. 1, Pp. 63–97, 2009.
- [19] T. Tang And M. Firth, "Can Book—Tax Differences Capture Earnings Management And Tax Management? Empirical Evidence From China," *Int. J. Account.*, Vol. 46, No. 2, Pp. 175–204, 2011.
- [20] N. Vafeas And E. Theodorou, "The Relationship Between Board Structure And Firm Performance In The Uk," Br. Account. Rev., Vol. 30, No. 4, Pp. 383–407, 1998.
- [21] F. Amanda And M. Febrianti, "Analisis Pengaruh Beban Pajak Kini, Beban Pajak Tangguhan, Dan Basis Akrual Terhadap Manajemen Laba," *Ultim. Account.*, Vol. 7, No. 1, Pp. 70–86, 2015.
- [22] S. R. Pamungkas, "Pengaruh Gaya Kepemimpinan Terhadap Keefektifan Anggaran Partisipatif Dalam Peningkatan Kinerja Manajerial (Studi Kasus Pada Rumah Sakit Umum Daerah Di Wilayah Eks Karesidenan Surakarta)." Universitas Muhammadiyah Surakarta, 2014.
- [23] A. Amril, D. F. Puspa, And P. Fauziati, "Pengaruh Manajemen Laba Dan Corporate Governance Terhadap Agresivitas Pajak Perusahaan Manufaktur Yang Listing Di Bursa Efek Indonesia (Bei) Periode 2011-2013," J. Financ. Econ., Vol. 7, No. 1, 2015.

Artikel 8

ORIGINALITY REPORT

17% SIMILARITY INDEX

19%
INTERNET SOURCES

6%
PUBLICATIONS

5% STUDENT PAPERS

PRIMARY SOURCES

1

download.atlantis-press.com
Internet Source

10%

2

irceb.org
Internet Source

5%

3

jurnal.stesislamicvillage.ac.id
Internet Source

2%

Exclude quotes

On

Exclude matches

< 2%

Exclude bibliography (